



Benchmark Example No. 15

Flexural and Torsional Buckling

SOFiSTiK | 2018

VERiFiCATION MANUAL
BE15: Flexural and Torsional Buckling

VERiFiCATION MANUAL, Version 2018-7
Software Version: SOFiSTiK 2018

Copyright © 2018 by SOFiSTiK AG, Oberschleissheim, Germany.

SOFiSTiK AG

HQ Oberschleissheim
Bruckmannring 38
85764 Oberschleissheim
Germany

T +49 (0)89 315878-0
F +49 (0)89 315878-23

info@sofistik.com
www.sofistik.com

Office Nuremberg
Burgschmietstr. 40
90419 Nuremberg
Germany

T +49 (0)911 39901-0
F +49(0)911 397904

This manual is protected by copyright laws. No part of it may be translated, copied or reproduced, in any form or by any means, without written permission from SOFiSTiK AG. SOFiSTiK reserves the right to modify or to release new editions of this manual.

The manual and the program have been thoroughly checked for errors. However, SOFiSTiK does not claim that either one is completely error free. Errors and omissions are corrected as soon as they are detected.

The user of the program is solely responsible for the applications. We strongly encourage the user to test the correctness of all calculations at least by random sampling.

Front Cover

Project: New SOFiSTiK Office, Nuremberg | Contractor: WOLFF & MLLER, Stuttgart | Architecture: WABE-PLAN ARCHITEKTUR, Stuttgart |
Structural Engineer: Boll und Partner. Beratende Ingenieure VBI, Stuttgart | MEP: GM Planen + Beraten, Griesheim | Lead Architect: Gerhard P.
Wirth gpwirtharchitekten, Nuremberg | Visualisation: Armin Dariz, BiMOTiON GmbH

Overview

Element Type(s):	B3D
Analysis Type(s):	STAT, GNL
Procedure(s):	STAB
Topic(s):	
Module(s):	ASE
Input file(s):	flex_tors_buckling.dat

1 Problem Description

The problem consists of a standard I-beam, subjected to a compressive load P and supported as shown in Fig. 1. The flexural and torsional buckling load is determined.



Figure 1: Problem Description

2 Reference Solution

For the rolled steel profiles, such as *IPE 300*, the torsional buckling is generally only decisive, when the buckling length for torsional buckling s_θ is significantly larger than the one for the flexural buckling s_z , and at the same time the slenderness ratio is low [1]. The analysed model fulfils the above prerequisites. The flexural buckling load is:

$$P_{buck_z} = \frac{\pi^2 EI_z}{s_z^2 \gamma_M}, \quad (1)$$

whereas the torsional buckling load is:

$$P_{buck\theta} = \frac{1}{i_m^2 \gamma_M} \left(GI_T + \frac{\pi^2 EC_M}{s_\theta^2} \right), \quad (2)$$

where EI_z the flexural rigidity, C_M the warping modulus, γ_M a safety factor, G the shear modulus, I_T the torsional moment and i_M is the polar radius of gyration calculated as following:

$$i_M = \frac{I_y + I_z}{A}. \quad (3)$$

3 Model and Results

The properties of the model [1] are defined in Table 1. A standard steel material is used, as well as a standard rolled steel profile with properties according to DIN 1025-5. A safety factor $\gamma_M = 1.1$ is used, which according to DIN 18800-2 it is applied both to the yield strength and the stiffness. Furthermore, the self weight and the shear deformations are neglected. At all the supports the warping is not constrained.

Table 1: Model Properties

Material Properties	Geometric Properties	Loading
S 355	$s_\theta = 5$ or 6 m	$P = 600$ kN
$\gamma_M = 1.1$	$s_z = 2.5$ or 3 m	
$C_M = 125900$ cm ⁶	IPE 300 [2] $I_y = 8360$ cm ⁴ $I_z = 604$ cm ⁴ $A = 53.81$ cm ²	

The corresponding results are presented in Table 2. Figure 2 shows the deformed shape of the structure for the first and second buckling eigenvalues. It is obvious that the first one corresponds to the torsional buckling while the second one to the flexural.

Table 2: Results

	$s_\theta = 5.0$ [m] / $s_z = 2.5$ [m]		$s_\theta = 6.0$ [m] / $s_z = 3.0$ [m]	
	P_{buck_z} [kN]	P_{buck_θ} [kN]	P_{buck_z} [kN]	P_{buck_θ} [kN]
SOF.	1820.78	1462.56	1264.43	1288.51
Exact	1820.74	1462.56	1264.41	1288.51
Ref. [3]	1818	1459	1264	1285




Figure 2: Buckling Eigenvalues

4 Conclusion

This example presents the determination of torsional and flexural buckling loads. It has been shown that the behaviour of the beam is captured accurately.

5 Literature

- [1] V. Gensichen and G. Lumpe. *Zur Leistungsfähigkeit, korrekten Anwendung und Kontrolle räumlicher Stabwerksprogramme*. Stahlbau Seminar 07.
- [2] K. Holschemacher. *Entwurfs- und Berechnungstabeln für Bauingenieure*. 3rd. Bauwerk, 2007.
- [3] V. Gensichen and G. Lumpe. “Zur Leistungsfähigkeit, korrekten Anwendung und Kontrolle von EDV-Programmen für die Berechnung räumlicher Stabwerke im Stahlbau”. In: *Stahlbau 77 (Teil 2)* (2008).